```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
     (1) PATRICIA THOMPSON, as
     Personal Representative of the)
     Estate of MARCONIA LYNN
 5
     KESSEE,
 6
          Plaintiff,
     -vs-
                                         No. CIV-19-113-SLP
     (1) NORMAN REGIONAL HOSPITAL
     AUTHORITY d/b/a NORMAN
 9
     REGIONAL HOSPITAL, a public
     trust, et al.,
10
            Defendants.
11
12
13
14
             VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF TIM TIPTON
15
                 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
1.6
                    IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
17
                          ON JUNE 4, 2021
18
                     COMMENCING AT 9:07 A.M.
19
20
21
22
                        INSTASCRIPT, L.L.C.
                     125 PARK AVENUE, SUITE LL
23
                  OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73102
                           405.605.6880
24
                     schedule@instascript.net
25
    REPORTED BY: BETH A. McGINLEY, CSR, RPR, RMR
```

1 Q All right. Now -- now, you would agree with 2 me that there is -- there is a certain point in this --3 in this -- the video footage, where Officer Brown 4 clearly understands Marconia says something about a 5 doctor, because he responds, "You already talked to a 6 doctor, you've been discharged, you've already been seen by a doctor, you've been cleared by a doctor that you 7 are able to be discharged from this facility; hence, why 8 9 are you sitting here acting like a fool?" 10 What is the training of an off- -- a reasonable officer when a citizen is asking to see a 11 12 doctor? 13 Again, in -- in this scenario, in this exact set of circumstances, with this information, that 14 statement by the officer tells me exactly what he 15 16 believes. He believes that he was just discharged, that 17 the doctor said he's good to go, he needs to leave. The 18 security officer has given him the paperwork, to say, 19 "He needs to leave, we don't want him here anymore." 20 So it's a hundred percent belief of the 21 officer that there's nothing wrong, that this guy is 22 faking it, acting like this, and the doctors know it and 23 they want him gone. He was there seeking drugs and they want him to leave, I mean, so --24 25 Well, like, for instance when you first Q

- 1 watched this video, did you get the sense -- I mean, I
- 2 want your impression, not anything else. The first time
- 3 you saw it, did you believe Marconia Kessee was faking
- 4 in that video?
- A Again, my first watch of the video, I already
- 6 knew that Mr. Kessee dies.
- 7 Q Sure.
- 8 A I knew it was a tragic end, so I couldn't even
- 9 view it, without 20/20 hindsight. So very difficult for
- 10 me to say that -- that -- what my view of it
- 11 was, because I had the privilege of having 20/20
- 12 hindsight before I even viewed it.
- 13 Q I -- I guess what I'm saying is, is when does
- 14 it become so obvious that somebody -- I mean, do they
- just need to pass out and die in front of an officer,
- 16 before an officer has a light bulb over their head that
- 17 says, "Oh, my God, something is on?"
- Can an officer, literally, just take the word
- 19 of a security guard and that's enough for him to avoid
- 20 any kind of responsibility in -- in a case like this?
- 21 He gets -- he gets secondhand knowledge from a security
- 22 guard and you -- what does it take for it to flip the
- other way? Does the guy have to die in front of him?
- MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
- 25 A So, again, I -- I think a reasonableness

- 1 standard, under the Graham standard, is we have to take
- 2 the totality of the circumstances and -- and slosh
- 3 through the facts of the case. That's what the Courts
- 4 have told us to do, slosh through every fact of it.
- 5 And in this case particularly, when we look at
- 6 all the priming elements: what the dispatch call was,
- 7 what the call notes said, what the officer saw when he
- 8 originally walked into the hospital and the actions that
- 9 he saw by Mr. Kessee, what he was told by the security
- 10 officer, what the paperwork written by the doctor said,
- 11 what the security officer further told them once they
- 12 were outside, when you take all of those things into
- 13 consideration to -- to do the reasonableness standard,
- in my opinion, it's reasonable, what they believed at
- 15 the time.
- Now, a different set of circumstances, a
- 17 different set of information not given to them or told
- 18 to them in a different way, could, obviously, change
- 19 this entire reasonableness scenario. But in this case,
- when you look at each one of those items, at that
- 21 moment, I believe it's reasonable what the officers
- 22 believed.
- Q (By Mr. Hammons) And that's based on looking
- 24 at this video -- you -- you agree with me the video is
- 25 terrible?

1 You don't believe Marconia Kessee was Q 2 attacking either officer in any portion of this video? 3 Α I never saw any assaultive behavior, on his 4 part, toward the officers. Any exigent circumstances that would justify 5 6 the use of force in this case? 7 Well, I believe the totality of the Α 8 circumstances made the use of force reasonable. I don't know about exigency. 9 10 0 On Exhibit 1 -- again, I understand this isn't 11 the one covering it. I think it's pretty close. of Force, " Page 47 of Exhibit 1. Towards the bottom, 12 it's 300.3.3: "Pain Compliance Techniques." 13 14 Is that a fairly common category of use of 15 force --16 MS. GOOCH: Object --17 0 (By Mr. Hammons) -- policies? 18 MS. GOOCH: Oh, I'm sorry. Object to the 19 form. 20 Α Yes. 21 (By Mr. Hammons) Okay. And you reviewed the pain compliance technique policy, not only the one 22 you're looking at, but the one that was from 2018, for 23 Norman Police Department? 24 25 Yes, I did review the -- the use of force

- 1 Norman? 2 Α I've not read anything in their use of force policy that addresses dragging. 3 Okay. Your understanding of this is that 4 5 Norman Police Department did not punish either Canaan or 6 Brown for any violation of a pain compliance technique 7 policy; true? 8 Α Correct. 9 Q Okay. Within the use of force policy that you 10 reviewed, the one at the time -- I get it, Exhibit 1 is 11 not the one, it's probably close to it, if not exact. 12 But the one that you did review, did you find in --13 anywhere in the use of force policy where it authorized the dragging of a person across concrete? 14 15 I didn't find anywhere in their policy that it. Α addressed dragging. 16 17 Do you agree with Canaan that he believes that 18 that conduct is not reasonable?
 - MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
 - 20 A Well, he -- he can -- well, I don't know that
 - 21 I -- it -- not reasonable, as in it's an excessive use
 - 22 of force?
 - 23 Q (By Mr. Hammons) I don't know. I just -- I'm
 - 24 reading directly from his deposition.
 - 25 "And I take it, from your answer, you do not

```
1
     believe that conduct to be reasonable?"
 2
               And he said, "No."
 3
               Yeah, I don't -- I don't know what -- in what
     manner he believes it's not reasonable.
 4
               I put -- "Now, within the use of force policy
 5
     contained in Exhibit 1, can you point to anywhere in
 6
 7
     there that would authorize the dragging of a person
 8
     across the concrete, a citizen?"
 9
               He said, "No."
10
               "I take, from your answer, you do not believe
11
     that conduct reasonable?"
12
               And he said, "No."
13
               I just wonder if you agree with him or
14
     disagree.
15
          Α
               No, I don't believe that this was a -- an
16
     excessive use of force or a violation of the force
17
     policy.
18
               So you believe the force was reasonable?
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               Okay.
                      So the dragging of the citizen across
    the concrete in this particular instance was reasonable?
21
22
          Α
               Yes, I don't believe it was a -- a
     constitutional violation, the -- the use of force.
23
24
               Okay. Do you agree with Canaan -- I asked him
          Q
    if -- "Is there any lawful reason that you can point to,
25
```

```
to the jury, dragging -- for dragging Marconia Kessee
 2
     across the pavement on January 16, 2018?"
 3
               His answer was, "No."
               Is there some lawful reason you can point
 4
 5
     to -- the jury to?
 6
          Α
               For moving him?
 7
          Q
               Yeah, for dragging him across the pavement.
 8
               MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
 9
          Α
               Well, I -- I believe that there's a -- a
10
     lawful violation that -- that -- that Mr. Kessee would
11
     not leave the property. I believe that the officers
12
     believed, at the time, that he had the ability to leave
13
     and -- and comply with that lawful order. He refused
14
     to. And an empty-hand dragging, escort, however you
15
     want to call it, was a reasonable use of force at that
16
     time.
17
               (By Mr. Hammons) I asked Officer Canaan, "The
18
     force you used against Marconia Kessee was not lawful
19
     force; true?" And he agreed with that.
20
               You disagree with that; you believe it is
21
     lawful?
22
          Α
               Yes, I believe it's reasonable and
23
     justifiable.
24
          Q
               Would you agree with me that the dragging of a
    person, that would be offensive to the individual being
25
```

1 dragged? 2 Α Yes. 3 And do you have an opinion as to whether or 4 not the dragging of Marconia Kessee caused him some 5 abrasions on his back? 6 I -- I read the autopsy and looked at the 7 pictures of the seven-centimeter and the nine-centimeter 8 abrasions, scrapes, and it's reasonable to believe those 9 could have happened during that time, but I don't know 10 for a fact. 11 It would be consistent with what you saw in 12 the video and what we see in the records? 13 The problem is, the video -- we can't see what Α 14 part of his skin comes in contact with the -- with the 15 pavement, so that's the reason that I'm not able to say 16 with certainty that it is or isn't, but it -- I mean, it 17 very well could have been. 18 0 Pretty good piece of evidence if I wanted to 19 say that those abrasions came from being drug across the 20 parking lot? 21 Yeah, I would say that's reasonable to 22 believe. 23 Q All right. Abrasions from concrete, have you 24 ever had any? 25 Α Sure.

```
1
     gets them off the hook here.
 2
               MS. GOOCH: Ob- -- is that a question?
 3
          Q
               (By Mr. Hammons) Is that what you think?
               MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
 4
 5
               So I believe, when you view the -- the
          Α
     totality of everything that the officers were told, what
 6
 7
     Officer -- or Security Officer Terry told them, again
 8
     be- -- beginning with what dispatch told them, with what
 9
     they saw with -- what they were seeing with their own
10
     eyes, with what the discharge paperwork from the doctor
11
     told them, so it was a combination of not just what Off-
12
     -- Security Officer Terry told them, but a combination
     of all these elements, are what developed their
13
     reasonable belief of the totality of the circumstances.
14
               (By Mr. Hammons) If you go back to your
15
          0
16
              Have you got -- do you have that?
     report.
17
               Yes, sir.
          Α
18
          Q
               We'll go through it a little bit.
19
               We'll go to Page -- go to Page 9. We're under
20
     the category of "Opinions Concerning Issue No. 1."
21
     guess we should state what Issue No. 1 is, first.
22
               Issue No. 1, on Page 7, is: "Did Officer
23
    Canaan and Officer Brown apply appropriate police
    practices during the interaction with Mr. Kessee?"
24
25
    you see that?
```

- 1 made a claim of constitutional violations.
- 2 So we -- that claim has to be viewed in how
- 3 the Courts have directed us to view it, where the agency
- 4 can -- can use 20/20 hindsight and doesn't have to be
- 5 held to those same standards as would be directed in
- 6 a -- in a federal civil rights violation.
- 7 Q (By Mr. Hammons) Well, and I understand that,
- 8 but -- and I understand we don't know -- we --
- 9 hindsight, we know he's dead, we know Marconia is dead.
- 10 But if we review this video, I'm more concerned with
- 11 this dragging of Marconia. That doesn't have to be
- 12 viewed in hindsight. We know exactly what happened. He
- 13 was not under arrest, he was not being detained, and
- 14 these officers grabbed him and they drug him across the
- 15 parking lot.
- You believe that that falls in line with
- 17 acceptable police practices based on that scenario? Not
- 18 hindsight of him being dead. We're talking about that
- 19 scenario.
- 20 A So based on what the officers reasonably knew
- 21 and believed at that time and evaluating that use of
- 22 force, I believe that when you apply the Graham
- 23 standard, that that use of force is not excessive and
- 24 not a constitutional violation.
- Q Well, doesn't the Graham standard require a

- 1 crime to be looking -- to look at a crime, like a crime
- 2 being committed?
- A And there was a crime committed.
- 4 Q Well, at the time, they -- after they drug
- 5 him, they said, "Let's -- let's tune him up, let's get
- 6 him a -- a trespassing," but they -- he literally was
- 7 not under arrest, not detained, not being held, was free
- 8 to leave, and they're dragging him across the parking
- 9 lot. I don't know how a police officer is allowed to do
- 10 that.
- MS. GOOCH: The -- that's not a -- is that a
- 12 question?
- 13 Q (By Mr. Hammons) Can -- I mean, do you -- have
- 14 you seen a situation where an officer is allowed to use
- 15 force on somebody who's not under arrest, not detained,
- 16 and is free to leave, and they get to -- they can just
- 17 drag them across parking lots?
- MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
- 19 A So police officers can and do use force, as
- 20 long as that force is reasonable based on a crime
- 21 committed. Whether or not there's an arrest made out of
- 22 that violation is a different question.
- So, yes, I believe it can be use- --
- 24 reasonable to use force when the officer can articulate
- 25 a crime has been committed, even though the officer

24

- 1 hasn't made the decision to take them into custody and 2 take them to jail. 3 (By Mr. Hammons) So under -- there's no 4 circumstance in this case that you see that would make 5 their use of force of dragging Marconia Kessee across 6 the parking lot, there's nothing you see that makes this 7 in the -- even in the slightest bit unreasonable? 8 MS. GOOCH: Object to the form. 9 So, again, whenever I view it based on what 10 the officers knew at the time, based on what -- the 11 information they have been given, and then we look at 12 that technique or that dragging and we -- and we compare 13 it the way Graham -- the Graham standard has told us to, 14 what was the type of crime committed and what was the --15 what was the propensity for pain and injury of the 16 technique, do those balance, I believe they do. 17 don't believe it was a constitutional violation or 18 excessive for them to -- to -- to drag him in the manner 19 that they did. 20 (By Mr. Hammons) Well, another -- another Q 21 question: Canaan, Brown, their videos, are you saying 22 that we can take those videos to a training facility 23 right now and say, "Here's how you use empty-hand
- 25 this is my stamp of approval, I'm Captain Tim Tipton,

technique to carry an individual, " and say, "That --

- 1 build their knowledge base of what they believed was
- 2 going on?
- 3 Q Right.
- 4 A And then was it reasonable for them to believe
- 5 that the property owner had the lawful authority to tell
- 6 them, "We want him moved, we want him off the property"?
- 7 Q Right.
- 8 A If all of those elements are there, then they
- 9 have a crime as soon as he refuses to leave.
- 10 Q Right.
- A And in their mind, in their belief, and I
- 12 believe in their reasonable belief, that they believed
- 13 he had the ability and was refusing to do that at that
- 14 time.
- 15 Q Right. And so my question is, is: Taking all
- of that as true, dragging a man, a citizen of Norman,
- 17 across the parking lot, is something that you, in this
- 18 very situation, would train your officers to do -- based
- on this scenario, you would say, "That is -- this is the
- 20 way we do it, drag them across the parking lot"?
- 21 A No, I wouldn't.
- 22 Q All right. You -- I don't -- I'm not -- and
- 23 this is based on their knowledge, they know -- they --
- 24 he is faking -- Marconia Kessee is faking every bit of
- 25 this, the doctor said he's clear, all of that, they're

- 1 occasions to do it and he did not request it himself.
- 2 Trying to think how to ask this. Strike that. I don't
- 3 know how to ask it.
- 4 On Page 14, we get into, "Once it becomes
- 5 clear to the officer that Mr. Kessee is not going to
- 6 comply, the physical use of force occurs. When
- 7 evaluating the use of force, the Graham standard once
- 8 again provides guidance, the evaluation is done by
- 9 balancing the crime committed with the type of force
- 10 used." Again, this is where I have some confusion.
- If, in fact, he's not under arrest for a
- 12 crime, he's not being detained for a crime, he's not
- 13 being combative, he's not a -- a threat to anybody,
- 14 according to these officers, how is it that any force
- 15 can be used against this individual?
- A Well, again, in my opinion, there was a lawful
- 17 violation. They had the -- they had established the
- 18 legal authority to be there. They had established the
- 19 legal authority to take action. The -- the property
- 20 owner is requesting them to take action. They start out
- 21 by merely trying to gain verbal compliance with the
- 22 individual. He -- he -- he doesn't comply. I believe
- 23 it's reasonable for them to believe that he had the
- 24 ability to comply and was choosing not to.
- Even though they had not told him, "You're

```
under arrest," I believe that they could articulate a --
 1
 2
     a -- an arrestable offense, and they were attempting to
     use a low level of force to gain compliance from him, in
 3
 4
     lieu of taking him into custody and taking him to jail.
 5
          Q
               Okay. Are -- are you D -- DRE certified --
 6
               No, sir.
          Α
 7
          0
                -- or --
 8
          Α
               No.
 9
          Q
               Do you teach in that or any --
10
          Α
               No.
11
          0
               No?
12
               MS. GOOCH: Do you need a break? Okay.
13
     checking.
14
          0
                (By Mr. Hammons) You do know that those -- the
15
     DRE guys, they -- they take blood pressures and look at
16
     the pupils and do the temperatures and kind of a --
17
     quite frankly, a -- an exam of -- of the individuals;
18
     true?
19
          Α
               Yes --
20
               MS. GOOCH:
                           Object to the form.
21
               THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
22
          Α
               Yes, sir.
23
               (By Mr. Hammons) Yeah. Have you ever seen one
          Q
24
     of those -- that procedure done?
25
          Α
               Oh, sure.
```

```
1
               "Did Officer Canaan and Officer Brown apply
 2
     appropriate police practices during the interaction with
     Mr. Kessee?"
 3
 4
               Does that encompass the idea of -- of whether
     or not they should or should not have got him medical
 5
 6
     treatment?
 7
               MS. GOOCH: Object to the form.
 8
               So it -- it will include: Is it reasonable --
 9
     was it -- were their interactions reasonable, based on
10
     the totality of the circumstances. So should they have
11
     believed what they believed, was it reasonable for them
12
     to believe what they believed. So I guess, yes, that
13
     would be ...
14
               (By Mr. Hammons) I -- yeah, I'm just making
15
     sure that -- and I believe -- I -- I think we're on the
16
     same page. I just want to make sure we're on the same
17
    page.
18
               That based on your review of the situation,
    the totality of the circumstances, that you believe it
19
20
    was reasonable for these officers to rely on what they
21
    relied on and not get Marconia Kessee medical treatment,
    based on your review?
22
23
          Α
               Yes, I believe that -- that they believed that
24
    had already been done.
25
          Q
               Right, that in -- I mean, that's going to be
```